

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	OCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,182	07/16/2003	William t. Sanders	030454.00004 2357		
7590 09/01/2004			EXAMINER		
Henry S. Jaudon			ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
McNair Law Firm, P.A. P.O. Box 10827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Greenville, SC	•	3744			

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Application No.	·	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/621,182		SANDERS, WILL	JAM T.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Mohammad Ali		3744	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence a	ddress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howen y within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time ne mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status					
•	•	s action is non-fin nce except for for	mal matters, pros		ne merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 21-23 and 27-32 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-23 and 27-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/out tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according according and according and according according according and according according and according accor	wn from consider or election require er. cepted or b) \(\square ob drawing(s) be held ction is required if the	ment. jected to by the E in abeyance. See le drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 (
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been rece ts have been rece ority documents h nu (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receiven 2(a)).	on No d in this Nationa	al Stage
,	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•	
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	Notice of Informal Pa		TO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/621,182

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "money receptacle" for claims 21, 27, 29; "changer" for claims 21, 27; must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. The drawing does not even graphically illustrate the claimed feature and hence the drawing is not in accordance with MPEP 608.02(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. A Phrase, "set volume of ice" in lie 14 and 15 of claim 31 and in line 10 of claim 32 and a Phrase "pre-set volume of ice) in line 13 of claim 32 are failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,182

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 depend on cancelled claim 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boulter (6093312). Boulter discloses an ice making and ice dispensing system comprising a display front, an ice delivery chute 2004 beneath the display front adapted to receive ice from the ice dispenser 2014, a shelf arranged below the delivery chute 2004, an activating unit including a money receptacle 1404 and a changer 1406 for activating the ice dispenser 2014 to deliver ice to the delivery chute 2004. Boulter also discloses an icemaker 2019 and bag dispenser 2007. See Fig. 14, 17 and 23. Boulter discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,182

Art Unit: 3744

However, Boulter does not specifically disclose the distance between the delivery chute and the shelf. But Boulter discloses two sides vend windows 1002, which is holding a bottle of 5 gallons capacity. This bottle is obviously around two feet height. Boulter also discloses a folding shelf 1013. Therefore, Applicants distance between the delivery chute and the shelf is meeting obviously with Boulter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The height of the water container 5 gallon bottle is obviously close to two feet. The 5-gallon bottle is well known and is often supplied by the filter water plant authority to supply bottled filtered water. Boulter discloses a shelf height of around two feet around the shelf which

is strong enough to hold sufficient weight for the 5 gallon water with the bottle. Although, Boulter does not disclose to hold ice by his larger window 1002 it is obvious that the larger window including the folding shelf 1013 can replace the ice window. Boulter also clearly disclose delivery chute 2004 coming out from the icemaker to dispense ice out side the icemaker chamber. See Fig. 17. Therefore, the rejection is proper.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3744

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad M. Ali August 26, 2004

WILLIAM DOERRLER
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP \$400